



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/785,240	02/20/2001	Matthew Thomas Hart	00.174.01	4408
<div>7590 Zilka-Kotab, PC P.O. Box 721120 San Jose, CA 95172-1120</div>			<div>EXAMINER CHOUDHURY, AZIZUL Q</div>	
			<div>ART UNIT 2445</div>	<div>PAPER NUMBER</div>
			<div>MAIL DATE 12/12/2008</div>	<div>DELIVERY MODE PAPER</div>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte: MATTHEW HART

Application No. 09/785,240
Technology Center 2100

Mailed: December 12, 2008

Before TOI JOHNSON *Review Paralegal*
JOHNSON, *Review Paralegal*.

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on August 4, 2008. A review of the application revealed that it is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the Examiner to address the following matter(s) requiring attention prior to docketing.

EXAMINER'S ANSWER, EVIDENCE RELIED UPON

Section §1207.02 of the *Manual of Patent Examining Procedure*

(MPEP) (Eighth Edition, Rev. 6, September 2007) states:

(A) CONTENT REQUIREMENTS FOR EXAMINER'S ANSWER. The examiner's answer is required to include, under appropriate headings, in the order indicated, the following items:

....

- (8) Evidence Relied Upon. A listing of the evidence relied on (e.g., patents, publications, admitted prior art), and in the case of nonpatent references, the relevant page or pages.

The Examiner's Answer mailed November 16, 2007, is deficient because the "Evidence Relied Upon" section fails to include the references Pollack (US 6,546,390) and Dieterman (US 6,393,464) cited on page 3 in the Examiner's Answer's grounds of rejection for claims 9-11, 21-23, 33-35 and 37-43 under 35 USC § 103(a).

Appropriate correction is required.

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is returned to the Examiner to:

- 1) issue a PTO-90 citing the missing references listed under the Evidence Relied Upon section, paragraph (8); and
- 2) for such further action as may be appropriate.

Application No. 09/785,240

If there are any questions pertaining to this Order, please contact the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences at 571-272-9797.

Zilka-Kotab, PC
P.O. Box 721120
San Jose, CA 95172-1120